



The Effect of Adjunctive Midazolam on Fentanyl Analgesia and Anxiolysis in Acute Limb Trauma: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Mozhgan Sabzevari¹, Sepideh Mahdavi², Maryam Farjamfar³, Amir Noyani⁴, Mohammad Reza Khorsand⁵, Mostafa Enayatrad⁶, Maryam Abbaszadeh¹, Seyed Meysam Yekesadat⁷, Hossein Amiri Largani^{8*}

¹ Department of Emergency Medicine, Imam Hossein Hospital, Shahroud University of Medical Sciences, Shahroud, Iran.

² Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

³ Center for Health Related Social and Behavioral Sciences Research, Shahroud University of Medical Sciences, Shahroud, Iran.

⁴ Department of Emergency Medicine, Shahroud University of Medical Sciences, Shahroud, Iran.

⁵ Department of Emergency Medicine, Bahar Hospital, Shahroud University of Medical Sciences, Shahroud, Iran.

⁶ Clinical Research Development Unit, Bahar Hospital, Shahroud University of Medical Sciences, Shahroud, Iran.

⁷ Clinical Research Development Unit, Imam Hossein Hospital, Shahroud University of Medical Sciences, Shahroud, Iran.

⁸ Department of Emergency Medicine, School of Medicine, Shahroud University of Medical Sciences, Shahroud, Iran.

Received: 1 July 2025

Accepted: 25 April 2026

Abstract

Background: We compared combined midazolam-fentanyl versus fentanyl alone for anxiety and pain relief in adult limb trauma patients.

Methods: In this double-blind randomized trial at Imam Hossein Hospital (Shahroud, Iran) during 2021, 78 adults (18–80 years) with direct limb trauma (GCS=15, SBP>90 mmHg) were randomly assigned to receive IV fentanyl (1 µg/kg) plus either 1 mL saline or midazolam (1 mg if <50 kg, 2 mg if 50–75 kg, 3 mg if >75 kg). Pain was assessed by Visual Analog Scale (VAS) at baseline, 30 min, and 60 min; anxiety by Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS) before and 60 min post injection. Vital signs and adverse events were monitored; a rescue dose was given if VAS did not decrease by ≥2 points at 30 min. Repeated measures ANOVA, paired and unpaired t-tests, and chi-square tests were applied with α=0.05.

Results: Both groups had significant reductions in pain over time (P-value=0.001) and anxiety (P-value=0.001). Between-group differences were nonsignificant for pain at 30 min (P-value=0.697) and 60 min (P-value=0.124) and for anxiety (P-value=0.802). No episodes of hypotension or respiratory depression occurred.

Conclusion: Co-administration of midazolam augments fentanyl's anxiolytic effect and provides equivalent analgesia without additional cardiorespiratory compromise.

Keywords: Trauma, Pain, Anxiety, Midazolam, Fentanyl.

*Corresponding to: H Amiri Largani, Email: amirilargani@gmail.com

Please cite this paper as: Sabzevari M, Mahdavi S, Farjamfar M, Noyani A, Khorsand MR, Enayatrad M, Abbaszadeh M, Yekesadat SM, Amiri Largani H. The Effect of Adjunctive Midazolam on Fentanyl Analgesia and Anxiolysis in Acute Limb Trauma: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Shahroud Journal of Medical Sciences 2026;12(3):9-12.

Introduction

In Iran, trauma is the second leading cause of mortality and the primary reason for years of life lost¹. Globally, it accounts for approximately 12% of deaths² and imposes significant economic and social burdens³⁻⁵, including temporary or permanent disabilities^{2, 6}. Pain affects 70% of patients in emergency and critical care settings, hindering cooperation and timely assessment⁷⁻¹¹. Fentanyl, an opioid with rapid onset and short duration, is widely used for acute traumatic pain relief¹².

Midazolam, a short-acting benzodiazepine, offers quick anxiolysis, mild side effects, and cost-effectiveness¹³⁻¹⁵. Because anxiety can amplify pain perception, we investigated whether adding midazolam to fentanyl improves analgesia and reduces anxiety compared to fentanyl alone in adult trauma patients¹⁶⁻¹⁸.

Materials and Methods

This double-blind parallel clinical trial (IRCT20201226049832N1; ethics IR.SHMU.REC.1400.010) was conducted on 78 trauma patients aged 18–80 years presenting to Imam Hossein Hospital's emergency department in 2021. Inclusion criteria were direct limb trauma, informed consent, stable hemodynamics (SBP>90 mmHg), and full consciousness (GCS=15). Exclusion criteria included pregnancy, mental retardation, psychoactive drug use, addiction, hypotension (SBP<90 mmHg), hypoxemia (SpO₂<92%), and respiratory depression (RR<10 breaths/min), death, discharge, or transfer. Simple randomization with numbered sealed envelopes determined group allocation. The control group received IV fentanyl (1 µg/kg) plus 1 mL saline; the intervention group received the same fentanyl dose plus age- and weight-adjusted midazolam (1–3 mg). Syringes were identical to maintain blinding; only the prescribing physician knew group assignments.

Pain intensity was measured via a 10-cm Visual Analog Scale (0=no pain, 10=worst pain) at baseline, 30 min, and 60 min post injection¹⁹⁻²¹. Anxiety was assessed via the 5-item Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (0–10 total) before and 60 min after injection²². Vital signs (BP, HR, RR, SpO₂) were continuously monitored; if VAS reduction was <2 points at 30 min, a second dose was administered per group protocol.

Data were expressed as mean±SD or n (%). Normality was confirmed by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Between-group comparisons used independent t-tests or chi-square tests; within-group changes used paired t-tests. Repeated measures ANOVA assessed VAS over time, controlling for injection frequency. Significance was set at P-value<0.05.



Results

Seventy-eight patients completed the study (39 per group). Demographics and baseline vital signs were similar between groups (Table 1). Anxiety scores decreased significantly in both groups at 60 min (intervention 2.33 ± 1.55 to 0.76 ± 0.82 ; control 1.92 ± 1.57 to 0.81 ± 0.90 ; both P -value=0.001), with no intergroup difference at 60 min (P -value=0.802) (Table 2). Pain

scores fell progressively from baseline to 60 min in both arms (P -value=0.001 within groups) but without significant differences between groups at 30 min (P -value=0.697) or 60 min (P -value=0.124) (Table 3). Repeated measures ANOVA confirmed a significant time effect ($F=173.79$; P -value=0.001) but no treatment effect ($F=0.08$; P -value=0.919) (Table 4). No episodes of hypotension or respiratory depression were observed.

Table 1. Patients' baseline characteristics

Variable	Intervention (n=39)	Control (n=39)	P-value
Age, years	42.5±12.9	37.3±12.5	0.070
Male, n (%)	32 (82)	25 (64)	0.234
BMI, kg/m ²	24.6±3.9	24.5±5.4	0.889
SBP, mmHg	133.7±19.1	135.1±13.6	0.713
RR, breaths/min	13.2±1.4	13.9±1.8	0.078

Table 2. Anxiety scores (DASS)

Group	Before Injection	60 min After Injection	P-value
Intervention	2.33±1.55	0.76±0.82	0.001
Control	1.92±1.57	0.81±0.90	0.001

Table 3. Pain scores (VAS)

Group	Baseline	30 min After Injection	60 min After Injection	P-value (within)
Intervention	6.86±1.39	4.85±1.87	2.89±1.39	0.001
Control	6.51±1.46	4.68±1.87	2.44±1.09	0.001

Table 4. Repeated measures ANOVA for pain

Source	F	P-value
Time	173.79	0.001
Time × Injection Frequency	27.30	0.001
Time × Treatment Group	0.08	0.919

Discussion

Our findings indicate that the addition of midazolam to fentanyl provided analgesia equivalent to fentanyl alone in limb trauma patients over a 60-minute period, without evidence of added hypotension or respiratory depression. Pain scores decreased gradually in both groups; a pattern consistent with prior studies of benzodiazepine–opioid combinations²³. Regarding anxiolysis, while a greater numerical reduction in anxiety scores was observed in the midazolam group, it is important to acknowledge that this difference did not reach statistical significance at the 60-minute assessment (P -value=0.802). This suggests that, although a trend toward improved anxiolysis with midazolam was noted, our study cannot conclusively claim a superior effect within this timeframe. The pronounced stress of traumatic injury remains a critical therapeutic target²⁴, and while fentanyl alone delivered robust analgesia²⁵, the combined regimen—offering potential dual benefits of sedation and pain relief—was not associated with compromised safety. Emergency specialists may consider patient-specific factors, including anxiety levels, when tailoring regimens, though further investigation is warranted to clarify the anxiolytic profile of such combinations²⁴⁻²⁶.

Conclusion: Co-administration of midazolam with fentanyl provided effective analgesia comparable to fentanyl alone in adult limb trauma patients and was not associated with increased cardiorespiratory adverse effects. While a trend toward greater anxiety reduction was observed with the combination, this difference was not statistically significant at 60 minutes. Therefore, this approach can be considered a safe option that may contribute to patient comfort and cooperation during emergency procedures, though its definitive anxiolytic advantage requires further study.

Limitations: Baseline anxiety scores in our study population were relatively low (mean scores of 2.33 and 1.92). This may have introduced a “floor effect,” limiting the measurable range for anxiety reduction and potentially making it more difficult to detect a statistically significant difference in anxiolysis between the intervention and control groups.

Ethical Considerations

Approved by Shahroud University of Medical Sciences Ethics Committee (IR.SHMU.REC.1400.010; IRCT20201226049832N1); written informed consent obtained.

Acknowledgment

We thank the patients and staff of Imam Hossein Hospital's Clinical Research Development Unit.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

Funding

No external funding.

References

- Montazeri A. Road-traffic-related mortality in Iran: a descriptive study. *Public Health*. 2004;118(2):110-3. doi: 10.1016/S0033-3506(03)00173-2
- Krug EG, Sharma GK, Lozano R. The global burden of injuries. *Am J Public Health*. 2000;90(4):523-6. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.90.4.523
- Moore L, Lavoie A, Bourgeois G, Lapointe J. Donabedian's structure-process-outcome quality of care model: Validation in an integrated trauma system. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. 2015 Jun;78(6):1168-75. doi: 10.1097/TA.0000000000000663
- Fraga AM, Bustorff-Silva JM, Fernandez TM, et al. Children and adolescents' deaths from trauma-related causes in a Brazilian City. *World J Emerg Surg*. 2013;8(1):52. doi: 10.1186/1749-7922-8-52
- Bondanelli M, Ambrosio MR, Zatelli MC, De Marinis L, degli Uberti EC. Hypopituitarism after traumatic brain injury. *Eur J Endocrinol*. 2005;152(5):679-91. doi: 10.1530/eje.1.01895
- West R, French D, Kemp R, Elander J. Direct observation of driving, self-reports of driver behaviour, and accident involvement. *Ergonomics*. 1993;36(5):557-67. doi: 10.1080/00140139308967912
- Maciejewski D. Sufentanil in anaesthesiology and intensive therapy. *Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther*. 2012;44(1):35-41.
- Alimohammadi H, Baratloo A, Abdalvand A, Rouhipour A, Safari S. Effects of pain relief on arterial blood o_2 saturation. *Trauma Mon*. 2014;19(1): e14034. doi: 10.5812/traumamon.14034
- Baratloo A, Negida A, El Ashal G, Behnaz N. Intravenous caffeine for the treatment of acute migraine: a pilot study. *Journal of Caffeine Research*. 2015;5(3):125-9. doi: 10.1089/jcr.2015.0004
- Hosseini M, Karami Z, Janzadneh A, et al. The effect of intrathecal administration of muscimol on modulation of neuropathic pain symptoms resulting from spinal cord injury; an experimental study. *Emerg (Tehran)*. 2014;2(4):151-7.
- Hosseini M, Yousefifard M, Aziznejad H, Nasirinezhad F. The effect of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cell transplantation on allodynia and hyperalgesia in neuropathic animals: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2015;21(9):1537-44. doi: 10.1016/j.bbmt.2015.05.008
- Lucero JM, RM. Obstetrics. In: Miller RD, Pardo MC, eds. *Basics of Anesthesia*. 6th ed. Elsevier; 2012:514-45. doi: 10.1016/B978-1-4377-1614-6.00047-1
- Roberts JR, Hedges JR. Roberts and Hedges' Clinical Procedures in Emergency Medicine. 6th ed. Elsevier; 2013.
- C.K. Obstetric and gynaecological anaesthesia. *Anesth ANDY*. 1999; 88:134-5.
- Pereira EMM, Nascimento TSD, da Costa MG, Slawka E, Júnior CG. Comparison of intranasal dexmedetomidine versus oral midazolam for premedication in pediatric patients: an updated meta-analysis with trial-sequential analysis. *Braz J Anesthesiol*. 2024;74(5):844520. doi: 10.1016/j.bjane.2024.844520.
- Collins SL, Moore AR, McQuay HJ. The visual analogue pain intensity scale: what is moderate pain in millimetres? *Pain*. 1997;72(1):95-7. doi: 10.1016/S0304-3959(97)00005-5
- Aicher B, Peil H, Peil B, Diener H. Pain measurement: VAS and VRS in clinical trials with OTC analgesics in headache. *Cephalalgia*. 2012;32(3):185-97. doi: 10.1177/03331024111430856
- Price DD, McGrath PA, Rafii A, Buckingham B. Validation of VAS as ratio scale measures for pain. *Pain*. 1983;17(1):45-56. doi: 10.1016/0304-3959(83)90126-4
- Fadaizadeh L, Emami H, Samii K. Comparison of visual analogue scale and faces rating scale in measuring acute postoperative pain. *Arch Iran Med*. 2009; 12(1):73-5.
- Zafar A, Rehman A. Topical diclofenac versus oral diclofenac in mastalgia—a randomized clinical trial. *Rawal Med J*. 2013;38(4):371-7.
- Parkitny L, McAuley J. The Depression Anxiety Stress Scale (DASS). *J Physiother*. 2010;56(3):204. doi: 10.1016/S1836-9553(10)70030-8
- Akin A, Çetin B. The DASS: validity and reliability study. *Kuram Uygulamada Eğitim Bilimleri*. 2007;7(1):260.
- Lovibond SH, Lovibond PF. *Depression Anxiety Stress Scales*. 2nd ed. Psychology Foundation; 1996. doi: 10.1037/t01004-000



24. Tucker AP, Mezzatesta J, Nadeson R, Goodchild CS. Intrathecal midazolam II: combination with fentanyl for labor pain. *Anesth Analg*. 2004;98(6):1521-7. doi: [10.1213/01.ANE.0000112434.68702.E4](https://doi.org/10.1213/01.ANE.0000112434.68702.E4)
25. Aydogan MS, Korkmaz MF, Ozgul U, et al. Pain, fentanyl consumption, and delirium after scoliosis surgery: dexmedetomidine vs midazolam. *Paediatr Anaesth*. 2013;23(5):446-52. doi: [10.1111/pan.12128](https://doi.org/10.1111/pan.12128)

26. Vahedi HSM, Hajebe H, Vahidi E, et al. IV morphine vs fentanyl in drug-abusing ED trauma patients. *World J Emerg Med*. 2019;10(1):27. doi: [10.5847/wjem.j.1920-8642.2019.01.004](https://doi.org/10.5847/wjem.j.1920-8642.2019.01.004)